UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| 10/806,934 | 03/22/2004 | Celso J. Bagaoisan | ACI-004 | 2871 |
| 23410 Vista IP Law C | 7590 11/14/2007 | | Celso J. Bagaoisan ACI-004 28 EXAMINER WITCZAK, CATHERINE ART UNIT PAPER N 3767 MAIL DATE DELIVER | INER |
| 2040 MAIN ST | TREET, 9TH FLOOR | | | CATHERINE |
| IRVINE, CA 9 | 2614 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/14/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | 1 0 1 0 0 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/806,934 | BAGAOISAN ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | · . | Catherine N. Witczak | 3767 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | · | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2007. | | | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | • | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-20 and 25-28</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10,19,20,27 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 11-14,16-17,25 and 26 is/are rejected | 1. | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | · | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | ır. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO₁152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| _ | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | an Na | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | | | ed III tills National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 233 this attached actually emics action for a list of the continua copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| Attachmen | tis) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | ачени Аррисация | | | | |
| · | - | | | | | | |

Part of Paper No /Mail Date 20071112

WWW MIZO

Application/Control Number: 10/806,934

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of 11-14, 16-18 and 25-26 in the reply filed on 8/27/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 11-14, 16, 17, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruise et al (US 6,994,686) as modified by Jeanbourquin (US 6,223,936).

Cruise et al disclose in Figures 8A-D, 10, and 18 a method for delivering sealing compound comprising the steps of introducing a delivery sheath (24) having an occlusion member into a puncture; the delivery sheath connected to a pair of barrels (22) by a Y-fitting (Figure 28), wherein the sealing components are provided in the barrels by moving a valve coupled to the barrel ports for connecting the barrels with containers so as to mix buffer solutions in the barrels with solid polymer precursor components (Figure 8).

Cruise et al disclose the claimed invention except for an auto-injection assembly having an actuator activate able to release a spring mechanism. Jeanbourquin disclose in Figure 1 an auto-injection assembly comprising an actuator activateable to release a spring mechanism to automatically direct a plunger assembly to inject components out of the barrels. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Cruise et al with an

Art Unit: 3767

autoinjection system as taught by Jeanbourquin, since such a modification would automate the system of

Cruise et al, making it easier to use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 18 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/7/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In

response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention,

it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the device "automatically delivers

components, such as sealing components, from barrels without unintended pauses") are not recited in the

rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the

specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed.

Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/806,934

Art Unit: 3767

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairthrough Private PAIR only.

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WWW 11/12/07

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Keier !! hermon